GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Public Servants – Endowments Department – V & E Department - Departmental action initiated against Sri V.V.Sreenivasulu, formerly Superintending Engineer, Sri Bhramarambha Mallikarjuna Swamy varla Devasthanam, Srisailam, Kurnool now Retired as Executive Engineer (R&B) - Inquiry under Rule 20 of APCS (CCAR) Rues, 1991 – Article of Charges framed - Orders – Issued.

REVENUE (VIGILANCE – IV) DEPARTMENT

G.O.RT.No. 483 **Dated: 30-07-2021**

Read the following:

1. From General Administration (Vigilance & Enforcement) Department, Vigilance Report No. 108 (664/V&E/E/2016), Dt.16.11.2017.
2. Government of Andhra Pradesh Letter No.19021/65/2017-Vig.IVREV-1, Revenue (Vig.IV) Department, Dt.07.03.2018.
3. Govt., Memo.No.RDBS/147/Vig.R&B/2020, Dt.30.12.2020 of TR&B Dept.,
4. From the ENC, (R&B) Administration, Letter No.TRB02-ADMN0MISP/5/2020 – VIG SEC-RB ADMIN (1211881), Dt.21.03.2021.
5. G.O.Rt.No.201, Revenue (VIG.IV) Dept., Dt.30.07.2021.

&

O R D E R :

It is proposed to hold an Inquiry against Sri V.V.Sreenivasulu, formerly Superintending Engineer, Sri Bhramarambha Mallikarjuna Swamy varla Devasthanam, Srisailam, Kurnool now Retired as Executive Engineer (R&B), under Rule 20 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1991.

2. The substance of the imputation of misconduct or misbehavior in respect of which the inquiry is proposed to be held is set out in the enclosed statement of articles of charge (Annexure-I) and the imputation of misconduct or misbehavior in support of each article of charge is enclosed (Annexure-II). A list of documents by which and a list of witnesses by whom the articles of charge are proposed to be sustained are also enclosed (Annexure-III and IV).

3. Sri V.V. Sreenivasulu, is directed to submit within ten days of the receipt of this order, a written statement of his defense.

4. He is informed that an inquiry will be held only in respect of those articles of charge as are not admitted. He should, therefore, specifically admit or deny each article of charge.

5. Sri V.V. Sreenivasulu, is further informed that if he does not submit his written statement of defense on or before the date specified in para 3 above, or otherwise fails or refuses to comply with the provisions of Rule 20 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1991 or the orders / directions issued in pursuance of the said rules the Inquiring Authority may hold the inquiry against him ex-parte.

6. Attention of Sri V.V. Sreenivasulu, is invited to Rule 24 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964 under which no Government servant shall bring or attempt to bring any political or outside influence to bear upon any superior authority to further his interests in respect of matters pertaining to his service under Government. If any representation is received on his behalf from another person in respect of any

Contd.,2

matter dealt with in these proceedings, it will be presumed that he is aware of such a representation and that it has been made at his instance and action will be taken against him for violation of Rule 24 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

7. The receipt of this order may be acknowledged.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

G. VANI MOHAN
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (ENDTS)

To
Sri V.V.Sreenivasulu, formerly Superintending Engineer, Sri Bhramarambha Mallikarjuna Swamy varla Devasthanam, Srisailam, Kurnool now Retired as Executive Engineer (R&B) **through** the Commissioner of Endowments, A.P., Gollapudi, Vijayawada. (with a request to serve the Government order on the individual and send the served copy with dated signature to Government)
The Commissioner of Endowments, Andhra Pradesh, Vijayawada.

Copy to:

The Principal Secretary to Government, TR & B, Department, Velagapudi.
The ENC, (R&B) Administration, Vijayawada.
The Secretary to Vigilance Commissioner, Andhra Pradesh Vigilance Commissioner, Velagapudi.
The Director General, Vigilance & Enforcement & Vijayawada.
The P.S. to Principal Secretary to Government, Revenue (Endt.) Department.
SF/SCs

//FORWARDED :: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE-I

STATEMENT OF ARTICLES FRAMED AGAINST SRI V.V.SREENIVASULU, FORMERLY SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, SRI BHRAMARAMBHA MALLIKARJUNA SWAMY VARLA DEVASTHANAM, SRISAILAM, KURNOOL NOW RETIRED AS EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (R&B)

ARTICLE

That the said Sri V.V.Sreenivasulu, formerly Superintending Engineer, Sri Bhramarambha Mallikarjuna Swamy varla Devasthanam, Srisailam, Kurnool now Retired as Executive Engineer (R&B) I & Q.C. Vijayawada, has Check measured the HR excavation on 22/8/2015 without verifying the levels and actual ground condition, causing loss of Rs. 1.47 Crores.

This amounts to dereliction of duties.

Thus, he has violated Para-25 of A.P.P.W. "D" Code, Rule-3(1) of A.P.C.S (CC&A) Rules, 1964 and Article-4 of A.P. Financial Code Volume-1.

G. VANI MOHAN
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (ENDTS)

//FORWARDED :: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE-II

STATEMENT OF IMPUTATION OR MISBEHAVIOR IN SUPPORT OF ARTICLE CHARGES FRAMED AGAINST SRI V.V.SREENIVASULU, FORMERLY SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, SRI BHRAMARAMBHA MALLIKARJUNA SWAMY VARLA DEVASTHANAM, SRISAILAM, KURNOOL NOW RETIRED AS EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (R&B)

IMPUTATIONS

The Vigilance & Enforcement Department Officials initiated an enquiry on the adverse news published in Eenadu Daily on the works taken-up by Sri Bhramaramba Mallikarjuna Swamy varla Devasthanam, Srisailam in Kurnool District.

A) ALLEGATIONS:-

Allegation: The hillock was excavated without a provision in the estimate or agreement. The excavated boulders and soil was dumped in River course instead of disposing of with a lead of 1 km, as paid by the department. Notices were issued to the Contractor to remove the dumped rock spoil. The steps at Pathala ganga were constructed in haphazard manner with uneven tread and rise and also without hand rails and barricading arrangements.

1.1.1 ALLEGATION 1: The then Executive Officer, Sri K.V.Sagar Babu has submitted proposals vide his letter no.Rc.No.E3/2532/2010 Dated:08/09/2012 to take-up works on part of master plan development in temple town of Srisailam in Kurnool District for Rs.642.81 Crores. These works were proposed to be taken-up in three phases.

The Commissioner, Endowments Department, A.P. Hyderabad accorded administrative sanction for the first phase of works for Rs.137.56 Crores vide Rc.No.04/44904/2010 dated 09-11-2012.

The work "providing safety measures and facilities at Pathalaganga steps in Srisailam temple town" was sanctioned in first phase of master plan works, and was being executed when adverse news items were published in the daily news papers.

The Executive Officer is the head of office. He is totally in-charge of the temple town of Srisailam. An Engineering wing with two Executive Engineers, two Deputy Executive Engineers and two Assistant Engineers is provided to .assist the Executive Officer in execution of works.

1. The said work was administratively approved for Rs.7.00 Crores by the Chief Engineer, Endowments vide proceedings no.Q4/20800/2013- 14 Dated:25-05-2013. It was proposed to meet the expenditure from temple funds. The estimate for the above work was technically sanctioned by the Chief Engineer, Endowments, Hyderabad for Rs.7.00 Crores vide Rc.No.Q4/20800/MP/2013-14 Dated: 25-05-2013.
2. The provisions made in the estimate were as follows:-

   a) Construction of new steps & renovation of existing steps — Rs.4.00 Crores
   b) Construction of bathing complex (2Nos) Rs.1.10 Crores
   c) Construction of control room block Rs.48.50 lakhs
   d) Providing water supply and sanitary facilities — Rs.27.50 lakhs
   e) Provision for electrification — Rs.15.00 lakhs.

3. The above work was awarded on tender basis to the lowest bidder i.e., M/s Chabbra's Associates, Special class Contractors, Chilakalaguda, Secunderabad-500061, for Rs.5,64,45,670/- at a tender discount of 0.09% over estimate contract value (ECV) of Rs.5,64,96,516/-. The Commissioner of Endowments Department has approved the tender and the Executive Officer, SBMS

Contd.,2

Devasthanam, Srisailam had issued work order vide Rc.No.E1/2969/2013, dated 19/09/2013. The period of agreement is 18 months. The work was grounded and construction of one toilet block, shower complex and steps were completed on East side of Pathalaganga.

4. A meeting was held by Sri S.S.Chandra Sekhar Azad, Executive Officer and Sri S.Ashok, Superintending Engineer, Multizone-II, Endowments Department, Kurnool on the ongoing major works of the temple in the conference hall of the administrative office building on 14-06-2014. In the minutes of the meeting, it was resolved that "Since the work on East side of the steps was obstructed by the forest department, the Executive Officer desired to get the work taken up on the West side i.e., towards rope way. The Executive Officer also desired to widen the existing C.C.road (from toilet block to steps) to 50'0" duly utilizing the open area towards water. The Executive Officer was requested to obtain the proposals along with plan, prepare revised estimate and submit to the Commissioner, Endowments for necessary approvals." But the proposals were not prepared by the Assistant Engineer and submitted by the Deputy Executive Engineer.

5. The work was shifted to west side i.e., towards rope way. To widen the path way a hillock was excavated between Pathala ganga steps and rope way. The hillock was cut in vertical manner without providind slope or forming the berms. It is observed that same boulders are hanging on loose soils and are likely to slip and fall down into the excavated area. This was brought to the notice of the field engineers at the time of inspection to take precautionary measures to avoid occurrence of any mishaps. Some of the excavated rock was dumped into the River course. But the authorities have paid 1 km conveyance charges for the entire quantity treating that the entire quantity of excavated boulders, soils and rock was disposed of with 1 km lead. The work has been carried out and part rates were paid to the Contractor though this item of work was not in the scope of work or original agreement as alleged.

6. The Superintending Engineer, Multi zone-II, Endowments Department, Kurnool in his letter no.SE/MZ-II/Kurnool/2015 Dt.23-01-2015 addressed to Executive Officer, SBMS Devastanams, Srisailam classified the rock quantity, on appearance, as 80% of the total excavated quantity. Thus Hard rock excavation rate was paid to 80% of the total excavated quantity and soil excavation rate for balance 20% of the quantity. This classification was 'justified by the Superintending Engineer in the above letter stating that "to support him (Contractor) financially to keep the work in progress, it is instructed to make payments considering 80% of the volume of excavation as rock with 80% of the data rate. This recommendation is made to see that the work is kept in progress and to achieve the target before the upcoming Krishna pushkarams which otherwise there would be a serious criticism from all quarters".

7. The Krishna Pushkarams would occur in the month of August-2016 i.e., almost one and half year from the date of the above orders. But till end of June-2016 no structure was constructed in the excavated area or in the area prepared by excavating the hillock. But the payments were made as per the instructions of the Superintending Engineer, Multi Zone-II, Endowments Department, Kurnool.

8. The field engineers failed to execute uniformly the raisers and treads of the steps, which leads to Patalaganga. In fact, the pilgrims should not feel strain while climbing down to Pathalaganga and climbing up to ground level. No hand rails are provided. Barricading arrangements are also not provided. The senior citizens and ladies may encounter lot of trouble in the absence of above arrangements to visit Pathalaganga and have holy dip in the River during Krishna Pushkarams. The measurements have not been recorded properly in M.Books.

Contd.,3

The Commissioner, Endowments Department, has issued proceedings Rc.No.04/20800/2013 Dt.22-05-2016 allotting Rs.99.00 Lakhs of Sri B.M.S.Devasthanam, Srisailam to Water Resources Department to take-up the work "Improvements. and hand railing to the existing ghat at Pathalaganga Srisailam Kurnool district". In addition to the Water Resources Department funds, keeping in view the importance of the work for-the convenience and safety of visiting pilgrims for the ensuing Krishna pushkarams-2016. In the above proceedings, the Commissioner also requested the Chief Engineer & DWRO, Water Resources Department, Kurnool "to keep the premeasurements of the existing ghat, as this work is taken-up by the devasthanam and pending finalization of the deviations made without the approval of the Commissioner". (Copy of Commissioner proceedings is enclosed as Annexure-A7) This proceedings alone shows how the work in question was done by the staff of Srisailam Devasthanam and field engineers of Endowment Department. The funds spent by the Endowments Department for executing steps was wasteful expenditure. Only some part of the whole work was executed, and that is also not in order or of use. The funds were spent irrationally.

9. It is observed that the work was cam ad out without proper planning, approved drawings, execution, approvals and payments. Copious evidence is available, on misappropriation of funds, on ground a d also on paper.

The details are as follows:

a. **Planning:-** The estimate was prep red and sanctioned without verifying the provisions made in the estimate. The site is not available for the components to be constructed at Pathalaganga. Later, excavation of hillock was taken-up without a provision in the estimate. After excavation of hillock, no structure was constructed till today. The hillock was cut vertically, which is not correct. It may lead to landslides and sudden fall of the loose boulders which may hurt the pilgrims. It should be cut with appropriate slope with reference to soil classification. This is gross failure in planning. As on date, deviation proposals have not been submitted to higher authorities for obtaining approval.
b. **Drawings:-** For such a huge work costing Rs.7.00 Crores, the field level engineers have executed the work without any supporting drawings.
c. **Execution:-** The hillock was excavated without following the departmental procedure. The pre-levels are to be recorded in the level field (LF) books, which are to be issued by the Executive Engineer. After excavation, excavated levels are to be recorded in the LF books. Then quantity is to be calculated duly plotting the levels in cross sections. In this particular case, the LF books were not issued to the field engineers. Thus no levels, either pre or excavated were recorded in the LF books. But cross sections were prepared and the quantity calculated as 62,520 cum, out of which 50,016 cum (80% of the total quantity) was classified as hard rock and 12,504 cum (20% of total quantity) was classified as soils. The calculations were checked arithmetically and found that the quantity arrived is wrong. The calculations were corrected accordingly and the results are tabulated below.

Sl.No.	Description of item	As per execution/M.Books			After correcting the arithmetical mistakes		
		Rate per Cubic meter	Quantity in Cum	Amount In	Quantity in Cum	Amount In	Excess amount paid to the agency in
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8(5-7)
1	Excavation of soils	43.80 / Cum	12,504	5,47,675/-	11,454	5,01,685/-	45,990/-

Contd.,4

2	Excavation of Hard Rock	645 / Cum	50,016	3,24,10,168/-	45,816	2,96,88,768	27,21,400/-
3	Conveyance with 1 Km lead for total quantity	50.00 / Cum	62,520	31,26,000/-	57,270	28,63,500/-	2,62,500/-
				Total amount			30,29,890/-

10. The Vigilance & Enforcement team had inspected the site and calculated the quantity by total stations survey instrument arranged by Srisailam Endowment officials (a modern instrument to take levels and to compute the area and quantity) in the presence of the field engineers. A huge variation of quantity was found in the investigation. The total excavated quantity was calculated as 32380 Cum only as against 62,520 cum, claimed by the department. In the absence of pre-levels, the existing level on top of hillock was considered for entire width of cross section. In fact, the geological formations (hillocks) will be semi circular. By the time of V&E inspection, the hillock was already cut and hence there was no way to calculate. Therefore it was presumed to be rectangular section and accordingly the quantities were arrived. The extract of Survey of India map is also enclosed for verification. The contours are seen in even intervals.

11. Finally, adopting the same classification, as done by the field engineers, 25,904 cum (80% of total quantity) was calculated as hard rock and 6,476 cum (20% of total quantity) as soils. The quantity of the rock which was disposed of into River course was calculated as 4,333 cum for which conveyance should not be allowed. The rest of the quantity conveyed with 1 Km lead was 28,047 cum. The details of financial implication along with details of quantities are as detailed below:-

Sl. No.	Description of item	As per execution/M.Books			As per V&E Observation		
		Rate per Cubic meter	Quantity in Cum	Amount In	Quantity in Cum	Amount In	Excess amount paid to the agency in Rs.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8 (5-7)
1	Excavation of soils	43.80 / Cum	12,504	5,47,675/-	6476	2,83,649/-	2,64,026/-
2	Excavation of Hard Rock	645 / Cum	50,016	3,24,10,168/-	25,904/-	1,67,85,792/-	1,56,24,376/-
3	Conveyance with 1 Km lead for total quantity	50.00 / Cum	62,520	31,26,000/-	28,047 (The actual quantity conveyed from site)	14,02,350/-	17,23,650/-
				Total amount			1,76,12,052

Contd.,5

12. The total amount to be recovered from M/s Chabbra's Associates, Special class Contractors, Chilakalguda, Secunderabad-500061 is Rs. 1,76,12,052/-. This is unfruitful expenditure made by the Endowments Department.

a) **Approvals**:- During execution of work there is every possibility for occurrence of deviations. As per departmental code. Andhra Pradesh Detailed Standard Specifications (D.Code and APDSS) and agreement, as and when deviations are found to be executed the field engineers have to prepare the detailed proposals along with financial implication and submit the same to the higher authorities for approval. The quantity and rate should be approved by the estimate sanctioning authority. Then supplemental agreement for that item is to be concluded before proceeding for execution. In this particular work none of the above procedures were followed. The work has been carried out and payments were also made, but no approvals were obtained from higher authorities till today. Though it was resolved in the meeting held on 14-06-2014 as explained in the para 5 above, no proposals were prepared and submitted for approval. The agreement is full of tender conditions and the documents submitted by the agency the time of fling the tender (which are irrelevant to the agreement). The bill of quantity, which is a most important document, was printed out film web site and embedded to the agreement. The standard format of agreement was not followed.

b) **Payments**:- The Endowments Department ha, paid L.S.V & part bills to this work. The details of payments are as follows:-

Sl. No.	L.S.Bill.No.	Date	Cumulative Value of Work	Net amount paid in	Remarks
1	I & Part	7/6/2014	80,21,737	66,66,812	
2	II & Part	7/8/2014	1,14,59,559	28,80,258	
3	III & Part	6/2/2015	2,21,78,063	91,00,633	Hard rock quantity paid
4	IV & Part	25/6/2015	3,04,14,565	69,92,731	Hard rock quantity paid
5	V & Part	4/9/2015	5,06,32,034	1,71,64,487	Hard rock quantity paid

The details of the officers and engineers who prepared and paid the bills are as follows:

Sl. No.	Name of the Engineer & Designation	Bills prepared and processed for payment	Remarks
1	Sri S.S. Chandra Sekhara Azad, Executive Officer	I & II	1) Ordered for excavation of hillock without planning. 2) Payment made for the steps though not constructed in proper way.
2	Sri K.V. Sagar Babu, Executive Officer	III, IV & V	1) Paid excess amount of Rs.2,73,40,084/- towards excavation of hillock in hard rock and its conveyance. 2) No action was taken to construct any structure at this site even after lapse of one year of excavation.
3	Sri S. Ashok, Superintending Engineer		1) Signed on the cross sections without verifying the levels. 2) Processed for payment of extra quantity towards excavation of hillock in hard.
4	Sri S. Sreenivasulu, Superintending Engineer.		Check measured the HR excavation on 22/08/2015

Contd.,6

5	Sri K. Nageswara Rao, Executive Engineer.	I to V	1) Witnesses excavation and processed all bills for payment. 2) Not issued levels certificate for the bills paid for excavation which is against the procedure in vogue.
6	Sri B. Sreenivasa Reddy, D.E.E.	I, IV & V	
7	Sri M. Rama Achari, D.E.E.	II & III	On contract basis
8	Sri Seetha Ramesh, Asst.Engineer.	I, II & III	On contract basis
9	Sri B.V.Siva Reddy, Asst. Engineer	IV & V	

13. Sri S.S. Chandra Sekhara Azad, during his tenure as Executive Officer at Srisailam devasthanam has not acted as per rules and procedures. He should have taken permission from higher authorities before executing the new proposed work as there is complete change in scope of work. But the same was not done and payments were allowed without submission of proposals and non-conclusion of supplemental agreement for payment to new items. He paid I and II bills to the agency, which was against the agreement conditions.

14. Sri K.V.Sagarbabu, Executive Officer, has paid the III, IV and V bills. The hillock excavation quantity was paid by him without any approval from higher authorities and without concluding supplemental agreement for the items not included in the original agreement. The excavated place was not utilized for constructing any structure for a long time. if he had paid attention to the hillock excavation and it's utilization after excavation, he could have saved lot of temple funds. Without any purpose, without any vision or utility and without following the procedures, the Executive Officer has paid the huge bills. The bills were not checked even for arithmetic accuracy.

15. The Executive Officer has not taken any safety measures when the hillock was being cut in vertical manner. After excavation, loose rock boulders were exposed, which had fallen on 23-07-2016 in the path way of pilgrims. If this had happened at the time of pushkarams, large number of pilgrims might have been hurt. Now it is proposed to make safety arrangements with steel wire with a cost of Rs.40.00 lakhs. This is additional expenditure to rectify the faulty excavation of hillock, which could have been avoided by providing slope, benching or berms at the time of excavation.

16. The Audit Officer, located at Srisailam, through whom all bills will be audited, has not raised any objection during audit for paying the bills without level certificate from the Executive Engineer and for the items which are not in the original agreement.

5.0 **Summary of findings:-**

1) The steps at Pathalaganga were constructed in haphazard way with uneven rise and narrow tread, and are being rectified by Water Resources Department. Thus the expenditure of Rs.1.47 Crores. Made on steps was wasteful expenditure

2) The hillock was excavated without planning, drawings, provision in the estimate and payments were made, without obtaining proper approvals from the higher authorities. The excavation of hillock was completed on 17/08/2015 and no structure or work was carried out at this place till now. Thus, the money spent on this was unfruitful expenditure. The object of cutting hillock was not properly defined and it is observed that the cutting of hillock was done only to favour the Contractor resulting in loss to Srisailam Devasthan exchequer.

Contd.,7

3) The quantity of excavation was calculated as 62,520 cum, but actually it is 32,380 cum only and the debris is also thrown in the River against lead of 1 Km. Excess amount of Rs.1,76,12,052/- is paid which is to be recovered from M/s Chabbra's Associates, Special class Contractors, Chilakalaguda, Secunderabad — 500061.

4) The method of recording of levels in the level field (LF) books is not in practice in the department. The LF books have to be utilized and cross sections are to be drawn to measure the quantity of earth work excavation.

5) The Executive Officers have not paid any attention to the progress or necessity of work. They are the agreement implementing authorities, but paid for items which are not in the agreement. Crores of rupees were paid without ascertaining the quantities as per the procedures laid down in engineering department. They have not shown any interest in reporting the deviations to the higher authorities and getting the approvals.

6) The estimates are being prepared by a consulting agency without conducting proper field survey and without involvement of field engineers.

7) Due to lack of qualified and competent Engineers. They have committed many irregularities.

8) There are many deviations in all works during course of execution. But the deviations are not reported to the higher office for approval. It is ascertained that after completion of work only, the revised estimate is being submitted for approval. This should be dispensed with. If this convention is continued, funds will be spent on unnecessary items leaving the necessary items unattended due to paucity of funds.

9) There is no quality control wing in Endowments Department. A quality control wing with quality control lab is essential to execute such huge volume of works. hence a quality control wing may be setup at Srisailam or the services of R&B/WR Dept., taken.

10) The Audit Officer/ audit authorities have also not followed the prescribed procedure and allowed payments for items not in the agreement.

The above Vigilance and Enforcement observations clearly shows that Sri S.Srinivasulu, formerly Executive Engineer (R&B) Division, Kowur, West Godavari District now Retired as Superintending Engineer (R&B) has Check measured the HR excavation on 22/8/2015 without verifying the levels and actual ground condition, causing loss of Rs. 1.47 Crores.

Hence, the charge.

G. VANI MOHAN
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (ENDTS)

//FORWARDED :: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE – III

LIST OF DOCUMENTS BY WHICH THE ARTICLES OF CHARGE FRAMED SRI V.V.SREENIVASULU, FORMERLY SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, SRI BHRAMARAMBHA MALLIKARJUNA SWAMY VARLA DEVASTHANAM, SRISAILAM, KURNOOL NOW RETIRED AS EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (R&B) ARE PROPOSED TO BE SUSTAINED.

Government General Administration, Vigilance and Enforcement Department, Vigilance Report No. 108 (664/V&E)/E/2016, Dt.16.11.2017.

G. VANI MOHAN
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (ENDTS)

//FORWARDED :: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE – IV

LIST OF WITNESSES BY WHOM THE ARTICLES OF CHARGE FRAMED AGAINST SRI V.V.SREENIVASULU, FORMERLY SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, SRI BHRAMARAMBHA MALLIKARJUNA SWAMY VARLA DEVASTHANAM, SRISAILAM, KURNOOL NOW RETIRED AS EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (R&B).

*****

---NIL---

G. VANI MOHAN
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (ENDTS)

//FORWARDED :: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER